Challenges and Opportunities Facing Solid-State Electrolytes

Yi Mu *

Department of Queen Mary University of London Engineering School, Northwestern Polytechnical University, Xi'an, China

* Corresponding Author Email: muyi111@mail.nwpu.edu.cn

Abstract. Global energy demand is increasing. Environmental pollution and climate change are also becoming more serious. These problems make the development of energy storage batteries more important. These batteries need high energy density, better safety, and long cycle life. Liquid lithium-ion batteries are mature and widely used. However, they still have several problems. The electrolyte and electrodes may have clear side reactions during battery use. Liquid electrolytes are also easy to burn and easy to evaporate. These problems can reduce battery safety. In contrast, solid-state batteries use solid-state electrolytes instead of liquid electrolytes. This change gives solid-state batteries good potential in safety, stability, and energy density. This paper focuses on solid-state electrolytes. It reviews the material features, performance advantages, main problems, and improvement methods of oxide, sulfide, polymer, and composite solid-state electrolytes. Different types of solid-state electrolytes have different properties. Oxide and sulfide electrolytes are inorganic solid-state electrolytes. They usually have good thermal stability and relatively high ionic conductivity. Polymer electrolytes have better flexibility. Composite electrolytes do a decent job of blending the strengths of organic and inorganic materials, but they still face some common issues. Their ionic conductivity may not be high enough, the interface resistance is often pretty large, and their stability is still somewhat limited. This study helps explain why different solid-state electrolytes perform the way they do, and it also offers some useful pointers for designing better materials and pushing solid-state battery development further.

Keywords: Lithium-ion batteries; solid electrolytes; electrolyte properties.

1. Introduction

Global energy demand keeps rising, and at the same time, problems like pollution and climate change are getting more serious. Because of that, people are paying more attention to electric cars, portable electronics, and large-scale energy storage systems, which are all developing quickly. These applications also push batteries to deliver higher energy density, better safety, and longer cycle life [1]. Since the electrolyte is a key part of a battery, it has a direct impact on battery performance and whether the battery can actually be used in real-world situations.

Right now, most commercial batteries are still built around liquid lithium-ion systems, and the manufacturing processes for them are pretty mature. But that doesn't mean there aren't any obvious problems. For example, during battery operation, the interface between the electrode and electrolyte can become unstable, and side reactions between the electrolyte and the electrodes can also happen. Liquid electrolytes usually contain organic solvents, which are flammable and volatile. If things go wrong—like overcharging, a short circuit, high temperatures, or physical damage—you could run into safety issues such as leaks, fires, or even explosions. These problems are holding back further performance improvements. What's more, the energy density of liquid lithium-ion batteries is slowly hitting its practical ceiling, making it tough to meet the needs of high-energy applications.

So, it's pretty clear that electrolyte performance has a big impact on how well a battery works overall. Solid-state electrolytes have gotten a lot of attention because they offer the promise of high energy density and great stability. Swapping out traditional liquid electrolytes for solid ones is widely seen as an important step forward for next-generation energy storage. This paper focuses on solid-state electrolytes, giving a systematic rundown of several typical types, pointing out their performance limits and where future technical efforts should go, and offering some thoughts on possible future

directions. The aim is to lay theoretical groundwork for developing batteries that are not only high in energy density but also safe and reliable.

2. Classification of Solid-State ElectrolytesSection Headings

2.1. Oxide Solid-State Electrolytes

Oxide solid-state electrolytes are a major class of inorganic solid electrolytes, usually based on oxide lithium-ion conductors. They tend to have good thermal stability, high mechanical strength, and decent compatibility with high-voltage cathode materials. Over the years, researchers have reported several oxide electrolyte structures. Take NASICON-type electrolytes—they form three-dimensional ion migration channels that give lithium ions a more open path to move through [2]. Garnet-type electrolytes, on the other hand, can improve ionic conductivity at high temperatures and also widen the electrochemical stability window [3]. But oxide solid-state electrolytes aren't without their downsides. Things like grain boundaries, material defects, and uneven density distribution can get in the way of ion transport, increasing grain-boundary resistance and limiting how well ions can move overall. As a result, the actual performance of the electrolyte often falls far short of its intrinsic ionic conductivity. Also, because oxide solid electrolytes are generally rigid, they struggle to form good solid-solid contact with electrodes. That poor contact usually leads to high interface resistance.

Right now, researchers have made some clear progress with oxide solid-state electrolytes. For instance, Sun and colleagues [4] showed that things like doping with certain elements, controlling the lithium content, and stabilizing the phase structure can help boost the room-temperature ionic conductivity of oxide electrolytes. Feng et al. [5] found that surface coatings, interface buffer layers, wetting layers, and chemical modification can reduce the interface resistance between oxide electrolytes and both lithium metal anodes and cathodes. At the same time, process improvement can also improve electrolyte density. These methods include low-temperature synthesis, sintering additives, rapid sintering, and microstructure control [6].

2.2. Sulfide Solid-State Electrolytes

Sulfide solid-state electrolytes are usually lithium-ion conductors based on sulfide crystal structures. Compared with oxide electrolytes, sulfide electrolytes often have higher room-temperature ionic conductivity and better plasticity. They can also form good particle contact through cold pressing. This feature helps build continuous ion transport paths. Sulfide electrolytes still have several problems. One major problem is their sensitivity to air and moisture. When sulfide electrolytes are exposed to humid air, they can easily degrade and form side reactions. These reactions may damage the material structure and reduce interface stability [7]. Sulfide electrolytes have high ionic conductivity, but their electrochemical stability window is not wide enough. This may cause electrolyte decomposition and the formation of by-products. These by-products can increase interface resistance and reduce cycling performance. Like oxide electrolytes, sulfide electrolytes also have solid–solid contact problems. Their interface compatibility with electrodes still needs improvement.

Researchers have made progress in improving sulfide solid-state electrolytes. Yassmine Jamouri et al. [8] proposed that halogen substitution, elemental doping, and local structure control can improve Li^+ migration paths. These methods can increase ionic conductivity and improve electrolyte stability. Wang et al. [9] showed that surface coatings, artificial SEI layers, middle buffer layers, and in situ formed interface layers can reduce side reactions between sulfide electrolytes and electrodes. These methods can also slow the growth of interface resistance.

2.3. Polymer Solid-State Electrolytes

Polymer solid-state electrolytes are usually made of polymer matrix materials. Some systems also contain plasticizers, crosslinking units, and other functional additives. Therefore, polymer solid-state electrolytes are not always simple mixtures of one polymer and one lithium salt. Compared with

brittle inorganic solid-state electrolytes, polymer electrolytes have much better flexibility. They can also fit the electrode surface more easily. This feature helps reduce interface resistance and improves interface contact in practical use [10]. However, ion transport in polymer electrolytes is closely related to the movement of polymer chains. Temperature changes can strongly affect chain movement. Because of this, the room-temperature ionic conductivity of polymer electrolytes is usually lower than that of liquid electrolytes. It is also often lower than that of high-performance inorganic solid-state electrolytes. In addition, polymer matrices often have a certain degree of crystallinity. The crystalline regions in the electrolyte can strongly limit ion movement. This can reduce ionic conductivity. Polymer electrolytes also face a balance problem. High ionic conductivity usually needs more flexible polymer chains. However, flexible chains may reduce mechanical strength. Stronger structural support may limit ion movement. At the same time, good interface compatibility may not be enough to stop lithium dendrite growth. Therefore, it is difficult to improve mechanical strength, interface stability, and electrochemical performance at the same time.

Researchers have proposed several ways to solve these problems. Tan and Li et al. [11] suggested that copolymerization, blending, crosslinking, and chain structure control can reduce polymer crystallinity. These methods can increase the amorphous phase in the electrolyte, improve chain movement, and support ion migration. Zhang et al. [12] found that single-phase localized high-concentration polymer electrolytes can change the local solvation environment and interface chemistry. This design can create a better balance among ion transport, interface stability, dendrite suppression, and high-voltage compatibility. Zhu et al. Wu et al. [13] suggested that phase control, dense structure design, and better microscopic phase connection can improve ion transport and structural stability in polymer-based composite electrolytes.

2.4. Composite Polymer Solid-State Electrolytes

Composite solid-state electrolytes are usually made of a polymer matrix and inorganic fillers. These materials can partly combine the advantages of organic and inorganic components. They can also create a cooperation effect between the two phases. Compared with single-polymer electrolytes, composite systems can leverage the inorganic phase to enhance structural strength, suppress lithium dendrite growth, improve thermal stability, and facilitate ion migration. Compared with single inorganic electrolytes, composite systems have better flexibility and better contact with electrodes. Composite systems can have many advantages in theory. However, these advantages require good dispersion and good interfacial compatibility between the organic and inorganic phases. Poor interface bonding or uneven dispersion may cause particle aggregation and interface voids. These structural problems can cause local stress concentration. They can also block the formation of continuous ion transport paths and reduce ionic conductivity [14]. The conductivity of an electrolyte mainly depends on ion movement through transport paths inside the electrolyte. In composite systems, ions need to move through many phase interfaces. They do not move only inside one organic phase or one inorganic phase. Therefore, researchers need to build continuous transport networks across different phases. Simple mechanical filling is usually not enough to achieve this goal. It also cannot effectively improve ionic conductivity.

Composite systems also introduce more components and more interfaces. Electrodes, polymers, and inorganic fillers can interact with each other. These interactions can create complex interface problems. Zhang et al. [15] proposed building continuous three-dimensional inorganic frameworks and oriented channels. This design can form multiphase interpenetrating networks. These networks can reduce resistance when ions move across different phases. They can also improve ion conduction and partly optimize the whole structure. Xia et al. [14] further pointed out that fillers do more than provide mechanical support. Lewis acid–base sites and surface groups on the filler surface can reduce ion binding. The space-charge effect can also help build faster ion migration paths and improve the local ion transport environment.

3. Outlook

Current research shows that the main problems of solid-state electrolytes are no longer limited to intrinsic ionic conductivity but have shifted to the improvement of properties such as ion transport, interface stability, mechanical integrity, and safety. Take polymer solid-state electrolytes for example. Their ionic conductivity at room temperature still lags far behind inorganic fast ion conductors, which makes it hard to meet the demands for high-rate performance and everyday-temperature operation. Going forward, research could focus on boosting ion transport and improving interfaces. One way is to make polymer chains more mobile—through plasticization, gelation, or in situ polymerization—while also making the interface wetter so ions can move more easily. Adding ceramic fillers like LLZO, LATP, or sulfide electrolytes, whether active or inert, can help create connected pathways for ion transport and at the same time strengthen the mechanical properties of the electrolyte. Making the electrolyte film thinner, tweaking the crosslinked network, and tuning molecular-level interactions can also cut down overall transport resistance and lift electrochemical performance from a structural angle. On the anode side, problems like side reactions, poor physical contact, and high interfacial resistance can be tackled by building artificial SEI layers, adjusting the electrolyte's chemical makeup, using alloy anodes, or designing two-phase interface layers. These approaches help lower interface resistance, encourage even lithium deposition, and thus improve interface stability and extend battery cycle life. Even though solid-state systems are generally safer than liquid ones, polymer and gel electrolytes can still contain flammable ingredients, which might lead to thermal runaway—so safety remains a critical issue we can't brush aside. Two future directions are adding flame-retardant additives to the plasticized phase or attaching flame-retardant groups directly to the polymer backbone or side chains. Along with building network structures that are both flame-retardant and capable of conducting ions, these strategies could greatly enhance the thermal stability and overall safety of the system. From the perspective of material design, compositing and multiphase synergy remain important development directions. In such a synergistic system, the inorganic phase provides high ionic conductivity and mechanical support, while the polymer phase endows the system with good flexibility, interface wettability, and low-temperature processing capability. The synergistic effect of multiple phases is expected to achieve both high energy density and long cycling life of electrolytes.

The preparation process also has an important impact on practical applications. Oxide electrolytes currently usually rely on high-temperature solid-state sintering processes to obtain materials with high purity and high density. However, the existence of problems such as slow reaction and diffusion rates, difficulty in controlling particle size, easy volatilization of lithium elements, and high energy consumption also indicates that there are many limitations, which are not conducive to improving the volumetric and gravimetric energy density of batteries. Future process optimization can be carried out from two aspects: reducing energy consumption and improving scalable manufacturing capability. Energy consumption caused by high-temperature treatment can be reduced through processes such as low-temperature wet chemical methods, layer-by-layer deposition, and ultrafast sintering. In order to prepare thin-film electrolyte systems with better performance, vapor deposition, in situ polymerization, and flexible polymer binder networks help to construct denser electrolyte layer structures at the micrometer scale. In the future, dry mixing and hot pressing processes can also be introduced to effectively avoid side reactions of sulfide electrolytes during wet processing, thereby further improving the feasibility of large-scale continuous fabrication.

4. Conclusion

This paper summarizes and analyzes oxide, sulfide, polymer, and composite solid-state electrolytes. The analysis shows that each type of solid-state electrolyte has its own advantages and limitations. Oxide electrolytes have good thermal stability and high mechanical strength, but they often have poor contact with electrodes. Sulfide electrolytes have high ionic conductivity and can be pressed more easily, but they have poor air stability and stronger interface side reactions. Polymer

electrolytes have good flexibility and can fit electrode interfaces better, but their room-temperature ionic conductivity is usually limited. Composite electrolytes combine the advantages of organic and inorganic materials, but they still face problems such as uneven interfaces, unstable filler dispersion, and broken ion transport paths. Therefore, future research on solid electrolytes should not only focus on a single performance indicator, but important indicators such as ion transport, interface stability, mechanical support and safety also need to be taken into account.

The rapid development of electric vehicles, portable electronic devices and large-scale energy storage systems has imposed higher requirements on batteries in terms of energy density, safety, cycle life and engineering adaptability. Solid electrolytes inevitably need to further develop towards higher ionic conductivity, lower interface impedance and better high-temperature stability. Meanwhile, the overall performance improvement contributes to the construction of battery systems with both high energy density and high safety, and the collaborative optimization between electrode materials and electrolytes is also crucial. In general, this study provides support for the development of solid-state batteries with high energy density and high safety and offers clear basis and beneficial reference for relevant research.

References

[1] Kitalu Ricin Ngoy, Valantine Takwa Lukong, Kelvin O. Yoro, et al. Lithium-ion batteries and the future of sustainable energy: A comprehensive review. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 2025, 223: 115971.

[2] Zhong Zheng, Hong Zhao, Ruth Knibbe, et al. Advances and challenges in inorganic lithium solid electrolytes. Chemistry of Inorganic Materials, 2024, 4: 100078.

[3] Adrian Sazvar, Soroush Ghahramani, Omid Banapour Ghaffari, et al. Review of advances and challenges in Li7La3Zr2O12 solid electrolytes: From processing to performance. Journal of Power Sources, 2025, 657: 238150.

[4] Hongchao Sun, Shuaishuai Kang, Lei Cui, et al. Prospects of LLZO type solid electrolyte: From material design to battery application. Chemical Engineering Journal, 2023, 454: 140375.

[5] Wuliang Feng, Yufeng Zhao, Yongyao Xia. Solid interfaces for the garnet electrolytes. Advanced Materials, 2024, 36(15): 2306111.

[6] Golap Kalita, Takashi Endo, Toshihiko Nishi. Recent development on low temperature synthesis of cubic-phase LLZO electrolyte particles for application in all-solid-state batteries. Journal of Alloys and Compounds, 2023, 969: 172282.

[7] Berhanu Degagsa Dandena, Dah-Shyang Tsai, She-Huang Wu, et al. Review of interface issues in Li–argyrodite-based solid-state Li–metal batteries. EES Batteries, 2025, 1(4): 692-743.

[8] Yassmine Jamouri, Dalitso Mwanza, Asmaa Akhrouf, et al. Unlocking the potential of Li-argyrodite solid-state electrolytes: A path toward enhanced Li-ion conduction and electrode-electrolyte compatibility for high-performance next-generation all-solid-state batteries. Nano Energy, 2025, 144: 111378.

[9] Jian-Cang Wang, Lu-Lu Zhao, Nan Zhang, et al. Interfacial stability between sulfide solid electrolytes and lithium anodes: Challenges, strategies and perspectives. Nano Energy, 2024, 123: 109361.

[10] Ziyu Song, Fangfang Chen, Maria Martinez-Ibañez, et al. A reflection on polymer electrolytes for solid-state lithium metal batteries. Nature Communications, 2023, 14(1): 4884.

[11] Jiajia Tan, Lingxiao Guo, Jinming Hu, et al. Recent advances in poly (ethylene oxide)-based solid-state electrolytes for lithium-ion batteries. The Journal of Physical Chemistry C, 2024, 128(41): 17197-17218.

[12] Weiran Zhang, Victor Koverga, Sufu Liu, et al. Single-phase local-high-concentration solid polymer electrolytes for lithium-metal batteries. Nature Energy, 2024, 9(4): 386-400.

[13] Qian Wu, Mandi Fang, Shizhe Jiao, et al. Phase regulation enabling dense polymer-based composite electrolytes for solid-state lithium metal batteries. Nature Communications, 2023, 14(1): 6306.

[14] Yu Xia, Xu Han, Yue Ji, et al. Solid-electrolyte interphases for all-solid-state batteries. ChemPhysMater, 2025, 4(1): 9-29.

[15] Xiaorong Zhang, Jinping He, Yuxue Sun, et al. Enhanced inorganic-organic interphase compatibility in composite solid electrolytes by forming isocyanate-linked hybrid cross-linked networks. Journal of Colloid and Interface Science, 2025, 700: 138441.