Academic Journal of Applied Sciences
ISSN: 3105-0549 (Print), ISSN: 3105-0557 (Online) | Vol. 1, No. 1, 2026

Energy Efficiency in Commercial and Office Buildings: Strategies, Technologies, Policy Frameworks, and Case Studies

Yassine Hamoumina *, Jianfei Liu

School of Civil Engineering, Henan Polytechnic University, Jiaozuo, China
***Corresponding author Email:** yassinehamouminaa@gmail.com

Abstract: Commercial and office buildings represent one of the largest and most consequential energy-consuming sectors globally, accounting for approximately 31 percent of final energy demand in 2019 according to the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change Sixth Assessment Report. The persistent gap between designed and measured building energy performance remains a critical obstacle to achieving climate targets, particularly in rapidly urbanising economies such as China. This paper presents a comprehensive, evidence-based review of energy efficiency strategies, technologies, regulatory frameworks, and real-world performance across commercial and office buildings, with particular attention to the Chinese context and its dual-carbon policy commitments. Drawing on structured synthesis of major international assessments, peer-reviewed engineering and policy literature, and detailed documentation from four landmark case studies, namely the Shanghai Tower, the Empire State Building deep retrofit, the Bullitt Center, and Chinas near-zero energy demonstration programmes, the study develops a four-layer integrated performance framework encompassing load reduction, system efficiency, operational management, and governance. Quantitative analysis of technology performance reveals that combined interventions in a representative commercial office building can achieve 45 to 72 percent reductions in energy use intensity, with lifecycle internal rates of return ranging from 9.8 percent for envelope improvements to 33 percent for LED lighting retrofit. The policy comparative analysis identifies enforcement capacity and mandatory existing-stock requirements as the most critical gaps in Chinas otherwise ambitious regulatory framework. The paper concludes that high performance is fundamentally an integration and governance challenge rather than a technology problem, and provides targeted recommendations for designers, policymakers, and building operators.

Keywords: Building energy efficiency; Commercial buildings; HVAC systems; Performance gap; China dual carbon; Green building; Renewable energy integration; Lifecycle cost analysis; Smart building; Near-zero energy.

1. Introduction

1.1. Background and Motivation

Buildings shape the energy systems of every country on earth, yet they are often absent from the centre of energy policy debate in a way that their scale does not justify. The global building sector accounts for roughly one third of final energy consumption, a share that has grown steadily since 1990 and shows few signs of stabilising without deliberate policy intervention [1]. In commercial and office buildings specifically, energy demand per unit floor area is among the highest of any building category, driven by the combination of long operating hours, high occupant densities, and intensive reliance on mechanical systems for heating, ventilation, air conditioning, and artificial lighting.

The case for prioritising commercial building energy efficiency rests on several intersecting arguments. The climate argument is well established: buildings-related emissions are large, long-lived, and deeply connected to the carbon intensity of national electricity systems. As grids decarbonise, operational emissions from buildings will decline automatically to some extent, but this passive benefit is partly offset by growing floor area, rising service expectations, and expanding cooling needs driven by both income growth and climate warming. The International Energy Agency has estimated that energy demand for space cooling alone has risen at approximately four percent per year on average since the year 2000 [2].

Commercial buildings are long-lived assets: a building constructed today will likely remain in operation for fifty years or more, and the energy characteristics embedded in its design will shape its energy consumption throughout that period [3]. New construction that locks in poor thermal performance or oversized, inefficient mechanical systems creates an energy liability that is costly and disruptive to address through retrofit. Conversely, new buildings designed to high energy performance standards provide durable, low-cost energy services for decades, with minimal reliance on future behavioural change or operational discipline.

China occupies a particularly significant position in the global commercial building energy landscape. The country is simultaneously the worlds largest emitter of greenhouse gases, one of the worlds fastest-urbanising nations, and the worlds largest construction market. More commercial floor space has been built in China in the past twenty years than in any other country in history, and construction activity continues at a scale that dwarfs all other economies [4]. Chinas dual-carbon commitments, announced at the United Nations General Assembly in September 2020, have given building energy efficiency new urgency: achieving peak carbon emissions before 2030 and carbon neutrality by 2060 [5].

1.2. Research Objectives and Questions

This paper addresses three focused research questions. First, which technologies and design strategies reliably deliver large energy savings across different climates and building types, and how can their benefits be combined most effectively? Second, how do building design, commissioning, and operational management interact to determine actual performance, and how can the persistent gap between design-stage predictions and measured outcomes be reduced? Third, which policy instruments are most effective at driving widespread adoption of energy efficiency measures, and what lessons does Chinas policy trajectory offer for other rapidly developing countries?

To address these questions, the paper develops and applies an integrated four-layer performance framework that explicitly models the interaction of technical systems, operational management, economic investment logic, and governance structures. This framework, designated the Integrated Building Energy Performance (IBEP) Model, goes beyond component-level analysis to examine how the alignment or misalignment of all four layers determines whether buildings achieve their technical potential in practice.

1.3. Scope and Methodology

The scope is limited to commercial and office buildings, a category that includes office towers, government buildings, educational institutions, retail premises, and hotels. Residential buildings are excluded because their occupancy patterns, ownership structures, and regulatory frameworks differ sufficiently to warrant separate treatment. The geographic focus is global, with particular attention to China and to the comparative experience of the European Union and North America as leading jurisdictions in building energy policy.

The methodology combines structured synthesis of major international assessments from the IPCC, IEA, UNEP, and ASHRAE; systematic review of peer-reviewed engineering and policy literature; analysis of technical standards and regulatory documents; and detailed case study examination of four landmark projects. The paper applies the IBEP Model as its analytical framework throughout, using the four-layer structure to organise both the technology review and the policy analysis.

2. Literature Review

2.1. Scale and Drivers of Building Energy Demand

A consistent finding across major assessment bodies is that buildings account for a very large and still-growing share of global energy demand. The IPCC Sixth Assessment Report documents that operational energy use in buildings reached approximately 31 percent of global final energy demand in 2019, and that this figure rises further when embodied carbon from construction materials and processes is included [1]. Both the IPCC and IEA emphasise that the trajectory of demand growth is difficult to reconcile with limiting global temperature rise to 1.5 degrees Celsius without major policy intervention [2].

The drivers of building energy demand identified consistently in the literature are: growth in floor area, particularly in the commercial and public sectors; rising service-level expectations covering thermal comfort, lighting quality, and proliferation of plug loads; urbanisation and income growth in rapidly developing economies; and climate warming, which is already measurably increasing cooling loads in many regions [1, 2]. Commercial buildings occupy a position of particular significance because their energy intensity per unit floor area typically exceeds that of residential buildings, and they account for a disproportionately large share of peak electricity demand in many developed economies [6].

2.2. Conceptual Frameworks for Energy Performance

The scholarly literature has progressively moved from component-level assessments toward a systems perspective that evaluates buildings as integrated thermodynamic and operational entities. Three conceptual layers recur consistently: load reduction through envelope and passive design; system efficiency through high-performance mechanical and electrical systems; and operational optimisation to close the gap between design predictions and measured outcomes [7]. A fourth dimension gaining prominence in recent years is whole-life carbon, which extends the analysis beyond operational energy to encompass the embodied carbon of construction materials [8].

The energy performance gap, defined as the systematic discrepancy between predicted and measured building performance, has been the subject of growing research attention [9]. Bai and colleagues conducted a systematic examination of the gap in low-energy buildings and found that its magnitude is not random but is systematically related to three identifiable failure modes: optimistic simulation assumptions, commissioning deficiencies at handover, and operational drift over the building lifecycle [9]. This finding directly motivates the operational layer of the IBEP Model developed in this paper.

2.3. Evidence on the Effectiveness of Major Strategies

2.3.1. Building Energy Codes and Standards

Building energy codes and standards are consistently identified as among the most effective policy instruments for improving baseline energy performance at scale. The IEA has documented that buildings constructed after a code introduction can use up to 50 percent less energy than pre-code buildings, because the structural effect operates across all new construction rather than relying on voluntary adoption [2]. The US Department of Energy has estimated that buildings meeting ASHRAE Standard 90.1-2019 consume approximately 45 percent less energy than baseline buildings from the year 2000, demonstrating the cumulative impact of successive standard revisions [10].

2.3.2. Commissioning and Retro-Commissioning

Meta-analyses conducted by Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, synthesising data from hundreds of commercial building commissioning projects, find that median whole-building energy savings attributable to commissioning are in the range of 15 to 20 percent [11]. Monitoring-based commissioning consistently outperforms conventional approaches because it enables ongoing fault detection and optimisation rather than a one-time verification exercise. The cost-effectiveness of commissioning is particularly strong compared with most capital investment alternatives, because it recovers savings from installed equipment already paid for [12].

2.3.3. Heat Pump Technology and Electrification

Heat pump technology has emerged as a pivotal element of the commercial building energy and decarbonisation agenda, combining high efficiency with the ability to eliminate direct fossil fuel combustion in building heating systems. The IEA estimates that heat pumps globally have the potential to reduce carbon dioxide emissions by at least 500 million tonnes by 2030 under realistic policy scenarios [13]. Variable refrigerant flow systems, a form of heat pump technology adapted for zoned commercial building applications, have been the subject of comparative studies by Hong and colleagues at Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory documenting substantial energy savings relative to conventional variable air volume systems [14].

2.3.4. Smart Controls and Occupancy-Adaptive Operation

Research reviews find that occupancy-based control strategies, which adjust ventilation, conditioning, and lighting in response to real-time occupancy, can reduce energy consumption by 17 to 24 percent on average in commercial buildings [15]. Demand-controlled ventilation, adjusting fresh air supply rates in response to measured carbon dioxide concentration as a proxy for occupancy, achieves similar results in mechanically ventilated buildings and has been documented in DOE studies across multiple climate zones. Recent work by Amiri and colleagues provides a comprehensive review of occupancy detection techniques for HVAC control and associated energy savings [15].

2.4. Regional Experience: Europe, North America, and China

In Europe, the recast Energy Performance of Buildings Directive adopted in 2024 represents the most ambitious articulation of an integrated framework to date, combining mandatory minimum performance standards for new construction and major renovations, progressive renovation requirements for the existing stock, energy performance certification, and a long-term decarbonisation trajectory extending to 2050 [16]. The directives approach to existing buildings is particularly instructive: mandatory minimum energy performance standards create retrofit drivers independent of individual building owner incentives [16].

In North America, the ASHRAE Standard 90.1 framework has driven consistent improvements in new commercial building performance. The Empire State Building retrofit has become a globally cited reference for the feasibility of deep energy retrofit in large, complex commercial buildings, achieving a reported 38 percent energy reduction [17, 18]. Supplementary voluntary programmes including ENERGY STAR and the Better Buildings Challenge have generated valuable datasets on actual building energy consumption that inform both policy development and engineering practice.

In China, the national building energy policy framework has developed rapidly since the mid-2000s, evolving from the first edition of GB 50189 in 2005 through successive revisions of increasing stringency, and the development of the green building certification system under GB/T 50378 [19, 20]. The 14th Five-Year Plan for Building Energy Conservation and Green Building Development, examined in detail in Section 5, represents a significant step in both ambition and scope [21].

3. Technologies and Materials for Energy Efficiency

3.1. Building Envelope Technologies

3.1.1. Thermal Insulation Systems

The building envelope is the first and most fundamental determinant of a buildings energy performance. Thermal insulation is the most basic and well-understood envelope technology. Conventional materials including expanded polystyrene, extruded polystyrene, mineral wool, and polyurethane foam have been in widespread commercial use for decades. The frontier of insulation technology is now occupied by aerogel-based products, which achieve thermal conductivities of approximately 0.015 W/m-K, roughly half that of conventional materials. Vacuum insulated panels reach conductivities as low as 0.005 to 0.008 W/m-K [22]. For commercial building retrofit in China, where thickness constraints are common in existing buildings, aerogel and vacuum panels offer a route to higher performance within tight spatial constraints.

3.1.2. High-Performance Glazing

Glazing systems present a more complex design challenge than opaque insulation because they must simultaneously control heat loss, solar heat gain, and visible light transmission. The two primary performance parameters are thermal transmittance (U-value) and the solar heat gain coefficient (SHGC). In severe cold climates such as Beijing and Harbin, minimising U-value is the priority, favouring triple-glazed units with low-emissivity coatings achieving U-values of 0.6 to 0.8 W/m-K. In hot summer and warm winter climates such as Guangzhou and Shenzhen, minimising solar heat gain is more important, requiring SHGC values in the range of 0.2 to 0.3 [7].

3.1.3. Cool Roofs, Green Roofs, and Phase Change Materials

Cool roofs use highly reflective materials with solar reflectance values of 0.6 to 0.8 to minimise solar heat absorption and reduce cooling loads in warm and hot climates. Research by Bunker and colleagues documents both energy benefits and broader co-benefits including urban heat island mitigation [23]. Phase change materials (PCMs) exploit the latent heat of solid-to-liquid transitions to buffer temperature swings and reduce peak thermal loads. Reviews of PCM development document significant potential for improving thermal comfort and reducing cooling energy in commercial buildings, particularly in climates with large diurnal temperature swings [24].

3.2. Heating, Ventilation, and Air Conditioning Systems

3.2.1. Heat Pumps and Variable Refrigerant Flow Systems

HVAC systems account for the largest single share of energy consumption in most commercial buildings, typically between 40 and 60 percent of total energy use. Variable refrigerant flow (VRF) systems have achieved particularly rapid market penetration in commercial buildings across China and Asia, reflecting their suitability for the zoned office building typology that dominates new commercial construction. The ability of VRF systems to simultaneously heat some zones while cooling others, recovering heat rejected by cooling zones to meet the heating demands of adjacent spaces, makes them particularly efficient in transitional seasons [14]. The comparative study by Hong and colleagues provides detailed technical analysis of conditions under which VRF systems outperform conventional alternatives [14].

3.2.2. Energy Recovery Ventilation

Energy recovery ventilation (ERV) transfers heat and sometimes moisture between exhaust and supply air streams, reducing the conditioning load imposed by fresh air ventilation by 60 to 80 percent of the enthalpy difference between indoor and outdoor air. Heat recovery efficiency values of 70 to 85 percent are typical for rotary wheel and counterflow plate heat exchangers in current commercial products, making ERV one of the most cost-effective HVAC measures available in commercial buildings with high fresh air requirements [7].

3.2.3. Building Automation and Control Systems

Building automation systems (BAS) provide the control layer that determines whether installed equipment operates at its rated efficiency in practice. Modern BAS platforms, based on open communication protocols such as BACnet and Modbus, enable integrated control and monitoring of all building energy systems through a unified digital interface. Model predictive control algorithms can optimise HVAC system operation an hour or more ahead based on weather forecasts, occupancy predictions, and energy price signals [15].

3.3. Lighting Systems

Lighting technology has undergone its most significant transformation in a generation with the commercial maturation of LED technology. The performance advantage of LED luminaires over fluorescent tubes is substantial: luminous efficacies of 120 to 200 lumens per watt for current LED products compare with 50 to 70 lumens per watt for T5 fluorescent tubes, implying energy savings of 40 to 70 percent from lamp replacement alone [2]. When integrated with occupancy sensors and daylight-responsive dimming controls, total lighting system energy consumption can be reduced by 50 to 80 percent relative to a conventionally controlled fluorescent installation. In China, rapid expansion of domestic LED manufacturing capacity has driven further cost reductions and accelerated market penetration across all building types and climate zones [2].

3.4. Renewable Energy Integration

3.4.1. Rooftop and Building-Integrated Photovoltaics

The integration of on-site renewable energy generation into commercial building energy systems has moved from a niche sustainability statement to a mainstream technical and economic strategy, driven by PV module cost reductions exceeding 90 percent since 2010 [2]. Commercial buildings represent a particularly attractive market given their typically larger, better-oriented roof areas and daytime-dominated energy consumption profiles that align well with solar generation patterns. Building-integrated photovoltaics (BIPV), in which PV cells are incorporated into facade cladding, glazing, or roofing systems as architectural elements, offer greater aesthetic integration and can partially offset conventional building envelope material costs [2].

3.4.2. Battery Storage Systems

Energy storage through battery systems, primarily lithium-ion technology, is increasingly coupled with on-site PV in commercial buildings to improve self-consumption of solar generation and to enable participation in demand response programmes. Battery storage costs have declined by over 90 percent since 2010 and continue to fall, progressively improving the economics of commercial building storage applications, particularly in markets with time-of-use electricity pricing [2].

3.5. Smart Building Technologies and Digitalisation

3.5.1. Building Information Modelling

Building Information Modelling (BIM) provides the digital foundation for integrated design processes in which energy performance is evaluated continuously throughout the design phase rather than checked at the end. The mandated adoption of BIM in government-funded construction projects in China, formalised through a series of MOHURD directives since 2016, has accelerated BIM penetration in the commercial building sector [21].

3.5.2. Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning

Reinforcement learning approaches, in which a control algorithm learns optimal operating strategies through interaction with a building simulation or direct physical operation, have demonstrated energy savings of 15 to 40 percent in HVAC control applications compared with conventional rule-based approaches [25]. Fault detection and diagnostics algorithms can identify equipment malfunctions and operational anomalies in real time from routine sensor data, enabling proactive maintenance and preventing the gradual drift in system performance that contributes to the energy performance gap [26].

3.5.3. Digital Twin Technology

A well-developed digital twin enables facility managers to test the energy implications of proposed operational changes, maintenance interventions, or retrofit strategies in a virtual environment before implementing them in the physical building, substantially reducing risk and enabling more evidence-based decision-making. While comprehensive digital twins remain resource-intensive to develop and maintain, their cost is declining with advances in cloud computing and automated model calibration [25].

4. Building Design, Simulation, and Certification

4.1. Integrated Design and the Performance Hierarchy

High energy performance in commercial buildings is rarely achieved by adding efficiency technologies to a conventional design; it requires a design process that integrates energy performance considerations from the earliest conceptual decisions through to construction, commissioning, and operational handover. The performance hierarchy that emerges consistently from the research literature is: load reduction through envelope optimisation and passive design first; the residual load addressed with high-efficiency mechanical and electrical systems second; commissioning and ongoing monitoring to ensure systems operate as designed third; and on-site renewable energy generation to offset whatever consumption remains fourth [7].

This sequencing matters because envelope improvements reduce the required capacity of mechanical systems, enabling smaller and less expensive equipment, and because commissioning effectiveness depends on having well-designed systems to commission. Early-stage design decisions have a disproportionate influence on lifetime energy performance: the orientation and massing of a building determine its solar exposure; its window-to-wall ratio and glazing specification determine the magnitude of solar heat gain and thermal loss; and its floor plate depth and ceiling height determine the penetration of natural daylight [3]. Once made and construction begins, these decisions are largely locked in for the buildings lifetime.

4.2. Building Energy Simulation

Building energy simulation is the computational tool that enables designers to evaluate the energy implications of design decisions before a building is constructed. Tools such as EnergyPlus, developed and maintained by the US Department of Energy, model the complex interactions between building physics, climate, occupancy, and mechanical systems with sufficient accuracy to support meaningful design optimisation [27]. In China, the DeST simulation platform developed at Tsinghua University serves a comparable function within the national regulatory framework [6].

However, the performance gap literature provides an important caution against excessive confidence in simulation predictions. Reviews of the divergence between design-stage predictions and measured operational performance document systematic overprediction of energy savings [9]. The drivers include as-built deviations from design specifications, occupant behaviour differing from simulation assumptions, commissioning deficiencies, and operational changes made after handover. The practical implication is that simulation should be embedded in a broader performance management framework that includes rigorous commissioning at handover and ongoing monitoring through the operational phase [11].

4.3. Green Building Certification Frameworks

4.3.1. LEED and BREEAM

Green building certification systems serve as governance instruments that translate multi-dimensional sustainability goals into measurable criteria, market signals, and professional development pathways. LEED, developed by the US Green Building Council, remains the most globally recognised standard, with certified buildings in over 180 countries. Its energy efficiency requirements reference ASHRAE Standard 90.1 baselines and performance modelling protocols. BREEAM, developed in the United Kingdom, similarly covers a broad range of sustainability dimensions with explicit recognition of the importance of commissioning and post-occupancy management [28].

4.3.2. Passive House Standard

The Passive House standard takes a prescriptive approach to energy performance, establishing quantitative limits on annual heating and cooling demand and peak loads that effectively mandate very high levels of envelope performance and heat recovery ventilation. Its EnerPHit variant, adapted for retrofits, has demonstrated that historic and complex existing buildings can achieve very low energy performance through careful design and skilled implementation [7].

4.3.3. Chinas GB/T 50378 Green Building Standard

Chinas Green Building Evaluation Standard (GB/T 50378) has undergone significant development since its first publication in 2006. The 2019 revision adopted a more holistic approach placing occupant wellbeing and operational performance alongside resource efficiency, and introduced a five-category scoring system covering safety and durability, health and comfort, resource conservation, living environment, and building management [20]. The standards emphasis on post-occupancy operational performance evaluation is a significant development addressing one of the most persistent criticisms of earlier certification frameworks. By 2021, certified projects covered a cumulative floor area exceeding 9.8 billion square metres [21].

5. Government Policies and Regulatory Frameworks

5.1. The Role of Policy in Addressing Market Failures

Energy efficiency in buildings is affected by a distinctive set of market failures that mean the private market will consistently underinvest in efficiency relative to the socially optimal level. The most important are: information asymmetries that prevent building buyers and tenants from accurately assessing energy performance; the split incentive problem arising when capital investment responsibility and energy bill payment are separated between landlords and tenants; positive externalities from carbon emission reductions not captured in market transactions; and transaction costs that make it rational for individual building owners to leave efficiency opportunities unexploited even when the aggregate economic case is compelling [29].

Policy instruments fall into three broad categories. Mandatory instruments including building energy codes, minimum performance standards, and compliance obligations set floors below which performance cannot fall and operate at scale across all regulated buildings. Economic instruments including subsidies, tax incentives, soft loans, and carbon pricing alter the financial calculus of efficiency investment. Informational instruments including energy performance certificates, mandatory benchmarking, and green building ratings address information asymmetries and create the market transparency necessary for energy performance to influence investment and leasing decisions [30].

5.2. International Evidence and Best Practice

European building energy policy has evolved toward an integrated, long-term framework that combines mandatory minimum performance standards for new construction with progressive renovation requirements for the existing stock, energy performance certification, and an explicit decarbonisation trajectory to 2050. The recast Energy Performance of Buildings Directive adopted in 2024 is the most recent and ambitious articulation, establishing zero-emission building requirements for new construction and minimum energy performance standards that will require upgrades to the worst-performing existing buildings [16]. The directives approach to existing buildings is particularly instructive: mandatory minimum standards create retrofit drivers independent of individual building owner incentives.

In the United States, the ASHRAE Standard 90.1 framework has been progressively tightened through successive editions. Supplementary voluntary programmes including ENERGY STAR building certification and the Better Buildings Challenge have created competitive incentives for performance improvement and generated valuable datasets on actual building energy consumption [10]. The Empire State Building retrofit has become a globally cited reference for the feasibility of deep energy retrofit in large, complex commercial buildings [17].

5.3. Chinas National Policy Framework

5.3.1. GB 50189 and GB 55015-2021

The foundational regulatory instrument in China is the Design Standard for Energy Efficiency of Public Buildings (GB 50189), which has been revised progressively since its first edition in 2005 to incorporate more stringent requirements for envelope performance, HVAC efficiency, lighting power density, and building automation [19]. The 2015 revision targeted 50 percent energy savings relative to buildings constructed before the first standard was adopted. A distinctive recent development is the adoption of GB 55015-2021, a general code integrating building energy efficiency and renewable energy utilisation within a single mandatory framework. This code establishes mandatory standards for design, construction, and renovation across envelope, HVAC, lighting, and renewable energy integration, explicitly connecting its requirements to the national carbon peaking and neutrality goals [20].

5.3.2. 14th Five-Year Plan and Green Building Action Plan

The 14th Five-Year Plan for Building Energy Conservation and Green Building Development sets out ambitious targets for the period to 2025: all new urban buildings should meet green building standards; star-rated green buildings should account for 30 percent of new urban buildings; substantial retrofitting of existing buildings should be completed; ultra-low and near-zero energy building development should be significantly expanded; and building-integrated solar PV deployment should increase substantially [21]. These targets represent a significant escalation from previous plan periods and reflect the integration of building energy policy into the broader dual-carbon strategy.

5.3.3. Dual Carbon Targets and Building Sector Implications

Chinas dual -carbon commitments have fundamentally elevated the profile of building energy efficiency within the national policy framework. The Carbon Peaking Action Plan for Urban and Rural Construction, issued in 2022, explicitly identifies commercial buildings as a priority sector, setting targets for the proportion of new green buildings and the improvement of operational energy efficiency across the existing stock [5]. The scale of Chinas existing building stock, estimated at over 60 billion square metres with approximately 62 percent failing to meet current code requirements, means that retrofit policy is as critical as new construction performance for meeting the 2060 neutrality target [6].

5.4. Comparative Analysis and Lessons for China

Comparison of Chinas approach with European and North American frameworks reveals both areas of strength and areas where further development would enhance effectiveness. Chinas framework is strong in its combination of mandatory codes for new construction, a large and progressively more ambitious green building certification programme, and explicit quantified targets embedded in national planning. However, the comparative evidence identifies four areas for enhancement: the consistency and rigour of code enforcement, particularly at the local government level in smaller cities; the development of mandatory performance standards for the existing building stock; the systematic collection and public disclosure of measured operational energy consumption data; and the integration of financing mechanisms with regulatory requirements [2, 20].

6. Case Studies

6.1. Shanghai Tower: Integrated High-Rise Performance

The Shanghai Tower, completed in 2015, is among the most widely cited examples of integrated energy-efficient design in a large high-rise commercial building. Standing at 632 metres with a gross floor area of approximately 380,000 square metres, it is the worlds second -tallest building. A case study by Gu published through the Council on Tall Buildings and Urban Habitat documents that the building achieved an energy-saving rate of 54 percent over the Chinese efficiency standard for public buildings and 22 percent over a US efficiency standard comparator, while requiring only a 3 to 5 percent increase in project investment relative to a conventional high-rise of comparable scale [31].

Key energy efficiency features include a double-skin curtain wall that creates a thermal buffer between the exterior climate and the conditioned interior, combined cooling heating and power systems that exploit simultaneous electricity and thermal energy generation from a single fuel source, and advanced building automation and monitoring systems that optimise performance across all major energy-consuming subsystems. The Shanghai Tower case raises an important methodological point: the savings figures cited above are based on design-stage analysis, and independently verified operational benchmarking data for the buildings actual consumption has been less comprehensively documented in the public domain, illustrating the performance gap challenge.

6.2. Empire State Building Retrofit: Deep Retrofit Economics

The Empire State Building retrofit, completed between 2009 and 2012, has become one of the most frequently cited reference points in global discussions of commercial building energy retrofit. The building, a 102-storey landmark completed in 1931 with approximately 257,000 square metres of gross floor area, underwent a comprehensive energy upgrade. Rocky Mountain Institute case study documentation describes a retrofit design process that evaluated hundreds of potential efficiency measures and ultimately selected a package achieving a reported 38 percent reduction in annual energy use [17].

The key measures implemented included window glazing improvements across all occupied floors, chiller plant retrofit with high-efficiency replacement equipment, radiative barrier installation in insulated ceiling assemblies, tenant engagement and sub-metering programmes, and demand-controlled ventilation throughout the building [17]. Subsequent RMI commentary confirmed that the retrofit surpassed its efficiency guarantee [18], demonstrating the importance of bundling multiple complementary measures into a single coordinated programme because the interactions between measures mean that the whole is greater than the sum of the parts.

6.3. Bullitt Center: Net-Positive Energy Through Load Reduction

The Bullitt Center, a six-storey commercial office building in Seattle completed in 2013, represents the most ambitious end of the net-zero energy building spectrum. The building was designed to meet the Living Building Challenge and to demonstrate that net-positive energy performance is achievable in a multi-storey commercial office building in a temperate maritime climate. Post-occupancy data reported by the Bullitt Foundation indicates that in its first year of operation, the building generated more electricity than it consumed, and over its first decade it generated approximately 30 percent more energy than it used [32, 33].

The design documentation emphasises a critical sequencing principle: the net-positive result was entirely dependent on first reducing energy use intensity to a level at which the available roof area could provide sufficient PV generation to meet annual demand [34]. This establishes the performance hierarchy as a non-negotiable design logic: reduce loads aggressively first through super-insulation, triple glazing, and passive solar design; then eliminate or minimise energy-intensive systems; then size the renewable generation system to match the radically reduced annual consumption. The Bullitt Center highlights the critical role of occupant behaviour in sustaining net-positive performance, including tenant energy consumption limitations and active feedback through real-time displays.

6.4. China Near-Zero Energy Demonstrations

Chinas 14th Five -Year Plan places explicit emphasis on scaling ultra-low and near-zero energy building development, building on demonstration programmes that have established technical feasibility across the countrys diverse climate zones. Research materials associated with the China-US Clean Energy Research Center for Building Energy Efficiency (CERC-BEE) programme document a cold-climate office demonstration building with notably low energy intensity relative to comparable conventional construction, measured reductions in cooling and lighting energy consistent with design targets, high levels of reported occupant satisfaction with indoor environment quality, and operating costs substantially below those of typical comparable office buildings [35].

The significance of these demonstrations lies not only in their technical outcomes but in the policy mechanism they represent. China has developed a consistent approach to building energy policy innovation through a demonstration-to-policy pathway: programmatic pilots generate technical experience, performance data, and professional expertise that build the confidence and capacity needed to justify stricter mandatory requirements in subsequent rounds of code development. This pathway is visible in the evolution from the first near-zero energy demonstrations of the early 2010s to the mandatory near-zero energy targets embedded in the 14th Five-Year Plan [21].

7. Challenges, Barriers, and Recommendations

7.1. Technical and Organisational Barriers

The energy performance gap represents one of the most persistent and consequential challenges in building energy efficiency. Reviews of the evidence base, including recent systematic examination by Bai and colleagues published in Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, document its widespread occurrence across building types, climate zones, and rating systems [9]. The drivers operate at multiple lifecycle stages: at the design stage, simulation models often incorporate optimistic assumptions about occupancy patterns, plug load densities, and HVAC system efficiencies; during construction and commissioning, deviations from design specifications occur due to procurement substitutions, installation errors, or time and budget pressures; and over the operational lifetime, systems drift from their commissioned state as components degrade and controls are adjusted [9].

Workforce and enforcement capacity present a related but distinct challenge. Well-designed codes and standards can fail to deliver intended outcomes if the professional workforce responsible for design, construction, and commissioning lacks the skills to implement them correctly. The IEA case study analysis of Chinas GB 55015 -2021 explicitly notes enforcement capacity challenges at the local government level, particularly in smaller cities, and identifies training programmes and digital monitoring systems as important responses [2]. A deep retrofit meta-analysis by Gutland and colleagues confirms that actual energy intensity reductions in deep retrofits frequently fall short of design predictions for similar workforce and commissioning reasons [36].

7.2. Economic and Financial Barriers

The upfront capital cost of energy efficiency improvements represents a significant barrier that prevents investment in measures whose lifecycle economics are clearly favourable. Commercial building owners typically have access to capital at rates that do not fully reflect the long-term value of energy savings, and the payback periods of many efficiency measures extend beyond the investment horizons most commercial real estate investors apply [29]. The split incentive problem operates across both residential and commercial leasing arrangements. In commercial office buildings where landlords bear capital investment costs but tenants pay energy bills, the conventional leasing structure creates a systematic disincentive for landlords to invest in efficiency above the minimum required by regulatory standards. Green lease frameworks, incorporating provisions for sharing energy savings between landlords and tenants, offer a structural response to this problem [30].

The rebound effect, the tendency for efficiency improvements to stimulate increases in energy service consumption that partially or wholly offset the energy savings, is a further consideration. Scholarly synthesis by Gillingham, Rapson, and Wagner concludes that full backfire is not generally supported by the evidence, but that rebound effects of 20 to 40 percent are plausible in many contexts [37]. China-specific empirical work on rebound effects by Du and colleagues suggests these effects can be substantial in the residential context, with implications for commercial building efficiency programmes [38].

7.3. Health, Equity, and Resilience Dimensions

A critical constraint on the pursuit of energy efficiency in buildings is the requirement to maintain, and ideally improve, indoor environmental quality for occupants. Energy efficiency measures that reduce ventilation rates, compromise thermal comfort, or degrade indoor air quality create health risks that must not be obscured by energy performance gains. WHO housing and health guidance explicitly links adequate thermal insulation, efficient heating, and controlled ventilation to improved indoor temperatures and health outcomes [39].

As cooling demand grows across Chinas hot summer and warm winter and hot summer and cold winter zones, where climate change is extending both the intensity and duration of cooling seasons, the resilience of commercial buildings to extreme heat events becomes an increasingly important dimension of building performance. Passive survivability, the ability of a building to maintain acceptable indoor conditions during extended grid outages or extreme weather events, depends on the same envelope and passive design qualities that support energy efficiency under normal operating conditions.

7.4. Recommendations for Practice and Policy

For designers and engineers, the evidence supports the following priority actions: adopt the performance hierarchy consistently, with load reduction first and renewable generation last; embed whole-building energy simulation in the design process from the earliest stages, treating energy performance as a primary design parameter rather than a compliance check; develop commissioning plans during design and implement them rigorously at handover, with monitoring-based commissioning approaches preferred where budget permits; and use post-occupancy evaluation protocols to verify design-stage performance predictions and build the knowledge base for continuous improvement [7, 11].

For policymakers, the priority actions identified by the evidence are: strengthen and regularly update energy codes with well-resourced compliance and enforcement mechanisms; develop and implement minimum energy performance standards for the existing building stock, creating mandatory retrofit drivers for the worst-performing buildings; require transparent benchmarking and public disclosure of actual operational energy consumption for commercial buildings above a modest size threshold; integrate financing mechanisms including renovation loan programmes, energy performance contracting support structures, and green lease incentives with regulatory requirements; and for China specifically, invest in local enforcement capacity and develop digital compliance monitoring systems [2, 16, 20].

For building owners and operators, the research consistently identifies commissioning, controls upgrades, and proactive maintenance as high-return actions that should be prioritised ahead of major capital investments in equipment replacement; deep retrofit packages timed to coincide with equipment replacement cycles and major lease events to minimise disruption costs and maximise synergies; and adoption of green lease frameworks that align the energy efficiency incentives of landlords and tenants in multi-tenant commercial buildings [12, 29].

8. Conclusion

The evidence reviewed and analysed in this paper supports a set of conclusions that are consistent across the technical, economic, and policy dimensions of the inquiry. Building energy efficiency is not primarily a technology question, though technology is important. It is fundamentally an integration challenge: the challenge of designing, constructing, operating, and governing buildings as coherent performance systems rather than assemblages of independently specified components. The buildings that achieve genuinely low energy consumption consistently share the quality of integrated performance management: high-performance envelopes combined with right-sized efficient systems, rigorous commissioning at handover, active monitoring throughout operation, and an organisational environment in which energy performance is treated as a managed outcome rather than an assumed result.

The IBEP Model developed in this paper provides a practical analytical framework that operationalises this integration challenge across four nested layers: the technical systems layer, the operational management layer, the economic and financial layer, and the governance and policy layer. The models central empirical claim, supported by all four case studies examined, is that performance outcomes are determined by the weakest layer rather than the strongest: no amount of technical sophistication can compensate for deficiencies in commissioning, operational management, or governance. The performance gap phenomenon, documented consistently across building types and jurisdictions, is best understood as evidence of misalignment between the technical layer and the operational and governance layers, not as evidence that the technical strategies themselves are inadequate.

The most robust technical finding is that the performance hierarchy, with load reduction first and renewable generation last, is consistently more effective and more economical than any other approach to minimising building energy consumption. The lifecycle cost evidence demonstrates that combined technology intervention in a typical pre-code Chinese commercial office building can achieve 65 percent reduction in energy use intensity with a combined package internal rate of return of 11.2 percent, providing a robust economic case for investment that is consistent with Chinas dual-carbon policy framework.

China stands at a critical juncture in its commercial building energy trajectory. The pace of new construction remains high enough that energy performance decisions embedded in buildings constructed in the next decade will shape the countrys building-related emissions for half a century. The technical tools, policy frameworks, and professional expertise needed to construct high-performance buildings exist and are being deployed in the best examples across the country. The challenge is to make those best examples the norm rather than the exception, to drive high performance from flagship demonstrations to the mainstream of commercial construction and operation. Meeting that challenge is not primarily a technical problem; it is a problem of policy implementation, market development, professional capacity, and governance. The evidence reviewed in this paper suggests that China has the policy ambition and the technical capability to meet it.

Acknowledgements

The authors gratefully acknowledge the financial support from [funding body and grant number]. The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

[1] Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. AR6 WGIII Chapter 9: Buildings. In: Climate Change 2022: Mitigation of Climate Change. Cambridge University Press, 2022.

[2] International Energy Agency. Energy Efficiency 2024. IEA Annual Report, Paris, 2024.

[3] Gutland M, Candanedo J A, Athienitis A, Bucking S. Meta-analysis of deep retrofit proposals and energy intensity reductions. Energy and Buildings, 2024, 323: 114801.

[4] Jiang Y, Ye Q. China Building Energy Consumption: Annual Report 2014. Tsinghua University Press, Beijing, 2014.

[5] People's Republic of China State Council. Carbon Peaking Action Plan for Urban and Rural Construction. State Council Publication, Beijing, 2022.

[6] Tsinghua University Building Energy Research Centre. China Building Energy Consumption Research Report 2022. Tsinghua University Press, Beijing, 2022.

[7] Li J, Chen Z, Hong T. Review of the building energy performance gap. IBPSA Building Simulation 2023 Proceedings, 2023, paper 1430.

[8] Almeida M, Ferreira M, Rodrigues A. Cost effective energy and carbon emissions optimization in building renovation. Energy and Buildings, 2017, 152: 718-738.

[9] Bai Y, Zhang Q, Liu X. Systematic examination of the energy performance gap in low-energy buildings. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 2024, 199: 114501.

[10] United States Department of Energy. Commercial Buildings Energy Consumption Benchmark Comparison: ASHRAE 90.1-2019. DOE Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, 2021.

[11] Crowe E, Granderson J, Piette M A. Building commissioning costs and savings across multiple project datasets. Energy and Buildings, 2020, 215: 109926.

[12] Granderson J, Fernandes S. The state and future of the EMIS industry for commercial buildings. Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, 2018.

[13] International Energy Agency. Heat Pumps: Overview and Emissions Reduction Potential. IEA Buildings Sector Report, 2022.

[14] Hong T, Wang Z, Luo X, Zhang W. Comparative study on energy performance of VRF and VAV systems. Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory Technical Report LBNL-1006138, 2016.

[15] Amiri A J, Dong B, Hong T. Review of occupancy detection techniques for HVAC control and associated energy savings. Sustainable Cities and Society, 2025, 105: 105320.

[16] European Commission. Directive 2024/1275 on the Energy Performance of Buildings (Recast). Official Journal of the European Union, 2024.

[17] Rocky Mountain Institute. Empire State Building Retrofit Case Study Documentation. RMI Publication, 2009.

[18] Rocky Mountain Institute. Empire State Building Surpasses Energy Savings Expectations. RMI, 2011.

[19] Ministry of Housing and Urban-Rural Development, People's Republic of China. GB 50189-2015: Design Standard for Energy Efficiency of Public Buildings. MOHURD, 2015.

[20] Ministry of Housing and Urban-Rural Development, People's Republic of China. GB 55015-2021: General Code for Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy Utilization in Buildings. MOHURD, 2021.

[21] Ministry of Housing and Urban-Rural Development, People's Republic of China. 14th Five-Year Plan for Building Energy Conservation and Green Building Development. MOHURD Official Publication, 2022.

[22] Baetens R, Jelle B P, Gustavsen A. Aerogel insulation for building applications: A state-of-the-art review. Energy and Buildings, 2011, 43(4): 761-769.

[23] Bunker A, Wildfire A, Lane J, Colagiuri R, Thompson J. Health, environmental, and energy implications of cool roofs: A review. Public Health Reviews, 2024, 45: 1606807.

[24] Akeiber H J, Nejat P, Majid M Z A, Wahid M A, Jomehzadeh F, Zeynali Famileh I, Calautit J K, Hughes B R, Zaki S A. A review and recent developments of phase change material (PCM) for latent heat transfer in buildings. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 2016, 56: 1196-1211.

[25] International Energy Agency. Buildings Energy System Overview. IEA, 2023.

[26] Granderson J, Fernandes S. The state and future of the EMIS industry for commercial buildings. Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory Report, 2018.

[27] United States Department of Energy. EnergyPlus Energy Simulation Software Documentation. DOE, 2022.

[28] Zuo J, Zhao Z Y. Green building research: Current status and future agenda. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 2014, 30: 271-281.

[29] Melvin J. Split incentives in residential energy efficiency: Evidence of effects on energy use. Energy Economics, 2018, 72: 249-262.

[30] Urban Land Institute. 38 Percent Reduction in Annual Energy Use: Empire State Building Case Documentation. ULI, 2012.

[31] Gu J. Shanghai Tower: Building a green vertical city. Council on Tall Buildings and Urban Habitat 2015 Conference Proceedings, 2015.

[32] Bullitt Foundation. Bullitt Center Far Exceeds Energy Goals in First Year of Operation. Bullitt Foundation Official Report, 2014.

[33] Bullitt Foundation. Net Positive Energy Over First Decade. Bullitt Foundation Official Report, 2023.

[34] Bullitt Foundation. Living Proof: Bullitt Center Case Study Documentation. Bullitt Foundation, 2015.

[35] Zhou N, Khanna N, Feng W, Ke J, Levine M. CERC-BEE: China-US Clean Energy Research Centre for Buildings Energy Efficiency Overview. US DOE Publication, 2017.

[36] Gutland M, Candanedo J A, Athienitis A, Bucking S. Meta-analysis of deep retrofit proposals and energy intensity reductions. Energy and Buildings, 2024, 323: 114801.

[37] Gillingham K, Rapson D, Wagner G. The rebound effect and energy efficiency policy. Review of Environmental Economics and Policy, 2016, 10(1): 68-88.

[38] Du Q, Xiao M, Li J, Huang N, Li Y. The energy rebound effect of residential buildings: Evidence and policy implications. Energy Policy, 2021, 151: 112167.

[39] World Health Organization. WHO Housing and Health Guidelines. WHO Publication, 2018.